ANTIMICROBIAL ACTIVITY OF FIVE RARE SPECIES OF DIOSPYROS AND THEIR ISOLATES

Ganapaty S¹ and Rajarajeshwari N² ¹College of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Andhra University, Visakhapatnam, A.P. ²Department of pharmacognosy, V.V Puram institute of pharmaceutical sciences, Bangalore.

Summary

The study was premeditated to evaluate five rare species of Diospyros malabarica, Diospyros oocarpa, Diospyros nigrisence, Diospyros candolleana and Diospyros montana for their antimicrobial and anti fungal activity.

The root and leaf extracts of all five Diospyros species were tested for anti antimicrobial and anti fungal activity, the results revealed that root and leaf extracts of D. candolleana had the highest antibacterial potential, followed by D. malabarica, D. oocarpa, D. nigrisence and D. Montana.

With regard to the isolates all the isolates showed the zone of inhibition, where as 8'-Hydroxyisodiospyrin showed highest antibacterial efficiency.

Key Words: Diospyros, Antimicrobial, Antifungal, Ebenaceae Rajarajeshwari N., Tel – 9916120687, Fax – 080-26711851, email.rajuvips@gmail.com

Introduction

Diospyros is an Ebenaceae member and represented by 350 species in the world. Nearly 90 species are distributed in India and all are mostly trees and rarely shrubs in which few species are endemic¹.

Diospyros species have been found to be rich source of napthoquinones and naphthalene based aromatic compounds ²⁻⁵ and almost all plants in the genus possess interesting biological properties ⁶⁻⁹ and the author has made a detailed survey on the species which resulted many species and it is very interesting to note that majority of species exhibited most significant results, hence the author has proposed to evaluate their antimicrobial potentials.

Materials and methods

Collection and preparation of plants

The roots of *D.oocarpa* were collected at Amboli ghat, the roots of *D. nigriscence* were collected from Tillari ghat of Maharastra state, and the roots of D. condolleana were collected at Ram ghat of Goa, India.

They were identified by Prof. Jadav Taxanomist, Dept of Botany, Shivaji Uviversity, Kolhapur, Maharashtra, India a voucher specimen (SGDO-1, SGDN-2 and SGDC-3) has been deposited at the herbarium, College of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Andhra University, Visakhapatnam, India.

Extraction and Isolation

The plant materials were dried in shade at ambient temperature, finely powered in willy mill and exhaustively extracted with chloroform. The extracts so obtained, were then concentrated under *vacuum* to get the corresponding residues. The residues were loaded in column chromatography over silica gel (Acme 100-200 mesh) and eluted with solvents of increasing polarity of petroleum ether, chloroform and methanol.

The root extract of *D. oocarpa* afforded Habibone, 8'-Hydroxyisodiospyrin and Diospyrin, the root extract of *D. nigresence* afforded Diospyrin , 4-Hydroxy-5methoxy-2-naphthaldehyde and 5-Hydroxy-4-methoxy-2-naphthaldehyde, and the root extract of *D. candolleana* afforded 5-Hydroxy-4-methoxy-2-naphthaldehyde , 4-Hydroxy-3,5-dimethoxy-2-naphthaldehyde, 2-Methyl anthraquinone and Diospyrin.

Antimicrobial activity

The Root and leaf extracts of *D. malabarica D. oocarpa*, *D. nigrisence*, *D. candolleana* and *D. montana* and their isolates, Habibone (1), 8'-Hydroxyisodiospyrin (2), Diospyrin (3), 4-Hydroxy-5methoxy-2-naphthaldehyde (4), 5-Hydroxy-4-methoxy-2-naphthaldehyde (5),4-Hydroxy-3,5-dimethoxy-2 naphthaldehyde(6), and 2-Methyl anthraquinone (7) were used for antimicrobial activity.

For testing five Gram (+)ve bacteria, Staphalococcus albus, Staphalococcus aureaus, Streptococcus fecalis, Bacillus subtlis, Corynebacterium diphtheriae and five Gram (-)ve bacteria , Escherchia coli, Proteas vulgaris, Klebsiella aerogenes, micrococcus luteus, Pseudomonas aeruginosa were used. The fungi employed in the antifungal activity were Aspergillus niger, Aspergillus fumigatus, Candida albicans, Candida tropicalis and Rhizopus oryzae using cup plate method. Ampicillin ($10\mu g/ml$) for anti bacterial and clotrimazole, ($30~\mu g$) for anti fungal were employed as reference standard. The extracts wree tested at 100, 200 and 400~mg/ml dose level and the isolates at 25, $50~and~100~\mu g/ml$.

Result and Discussion

The results revealed that the root and leaf extracts of *D. candolleana* had the highest antibacterial potential, followed by *D. malabarica*, *D. oocarpa*, *D. nigrisence* and *D. Montana*. The growth of *E. coli* and *S. aureaus* were inhibited by the all tested extracts. The organisms, *M. coccus luteus*, *C. diphtheriae*, *K. aerogenes* and *S. fecalis* are resistant to the root and leaf extracts of *D.malabarica*. On the other hand *E.coli* and *S. aureaus* were more susceptible, *P. vulgaris* and *S. fecalis* were mild resistant and others (except *C. luteus*) were more resistant to root and leaf extracts of *D. nigrisence*. *D. candolleana* root and leaf extracts displayed activity on the entire tested organism where as D. oocarpa is resistant except to E. coli.

With regard to the tested isolates, all the isolates showed inhibition zone where as, isolate (2) showed highest antibacterial efficiency followed by (5), (6) and (7) respectively with gram (+) ve bacteria.

Isolates of (5),(1) and (2), showed maximum zone of inhibition, whereas isolate (4) has showed less than that of the standard, and compounds (3), (6) and (7) were resistant to almost all gram (-) ve bacterial strains.

The antifungal activity results demonstrated that all the extracts showed strong activity against *Aspargillus fumigatus*, *Candida albians* and *Rhizopus oryzae* and moderate activity against *Aspergillus niger* and *Candida tropicalis*.in case of isolated campounds, compound (4), (5),(6) and (2) exhibited more activity than the other tested compounds. The compound (7) showed negligible activity on all the tested organisms.

Acknowledgement

One of the authors (S.G) is grateful to the University Grants Commission (UGC) New Delhi, for financial assistance (UGC-Major research project. Grant No.F- 7-23/ (Sr-I /2001

References

- 1. Arunendra, P. Dines, K.K and Rakesh, M., Phytochemistry. 2004: 65, 2153.
- 2. Mallavadhani, U.V. Panda, A.K. and Rao, Y.R., Phytochemistry. 1998: 49(4), 901.
- 3. Ganapaty, S. Thomas, P.S. Fotso, S. and Laatsch H., Phytochemistry. 2004: 65(9), 1265.
- 4. Ganapaty, S. Thomas, P.S. Karagianis G. and Peter, G. W., Nat. Prod. Res. 200: 20(9), 783.
- 5. Ganapaty, S. Thomas, P.S. Karagianis, G. Peter, G. W., and Brun, R. Phytochemistry. 2006:67(17), 1950.
- 6. Adzu B, Amos S, Dzarma S, Muazzam I, Gamaniel KS: Pharmacological evidence favoring the folkloric use of *Diospyros mespiliformis* hochst in the relief of pain and fever. *J.Ethnopharmacol* 2003: 82: 191-195.
- 7. Baltz T, Baltz D, Giroud C, Crockett J,: Cultivation in a semi-defined medium of animal infective forms of Tryponosoma Brucei, T. equiperdum, T. evansi, T. rhodesiense and T. gambiense. *EMBD. J* 4: 1985: 1273-1277.
- 8. Bouquet A, Debray M: *Plantes Medicinales de la cote D'Ivoire*. ORSTOM, Paris, 1974 : 80-82 pp.
- 9. Brun R, Jenni L, Tanner M, Schonenberger M, Chell KF: Cultivation of vertebrate infective forms derived from mata cyclic forms of pleomorphic Trypanosoma brucei stocks. *Acta. Trop.* 1979: 36(4): 289-292.
- 10. Beur A, Kibry WMM, Sherris JC, Truck M, Antibiotic Susceptibility testing by Standard disc method Am. J. Clin. Pathol. 1996: 44: 493-496.

Table No 1 Antibacterial Activity of *D. malabarica* D. *oocarpa*, *D. nigrisence*, *D. candolleana* and *D. montana* against Gram Positive Bacteria

Organism →	S. albus			S aureaus			S. fecalis			B. subtlis			C.diphtheriae		
Concentration →	100	200	400	100	200	400	100	200	400	100	200	400	100	200	400
Chloroform root ext. of D. malabarica	11	12	12	14	18	23	1	4	7	14	14	15	9	11	11
Chloroform leaf extract of D. malabarica	10	13	13	15	16	21	3	2	6	13	12	14	6	11	12
Chloroform root extract of D. Condollena	16	20	23	18	18	20	13	13	14	16	16	18	8	9	12
Chloroform leaf extract of D. Condollena	17	19	22	16	18	20	15	16	15	16	16	17	5	7	11
Chloroform root extract of D. nigresensis	9	11	11	13	16	19	12	12	12	4	6	7	4	9	9
Chloroform leaf extract of D. nigresensis	11	10	11	13	13	17	11	12	11	10	11	4	5	2	5
Chloroform root extract of D. oocarpa	-	-	-	7	7	7	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1
Chloroform leaf extract of D. oocarpa	3	3	6	1	3	6	5	1	5	1	2	4	5	0	3
Chloroform root extract of D. montana	7	11	12	3	7	10	1	-	8	_	-	-	10	10	10
Chloroform leaf extract of D. montana	13	13	11	11	10	10	-	1	7	1	-	-	7	7	10
Control- Chloroform															-
Standard : Ampicillin (10µg/ ml)	15			12			13			16			9		

Figures indicate Zone of Inhibition in mm. Inactive (-): moderately active (7-13): highly active (> 14)

Table No. 2 Antibacterial Activity of *D. malabarica* (MHDM) *D. oocarpa* (MHDO), *D. nigrisence* (MHDN), *D. candolleana* (GADC) and *D. Montana* (KADM) against Gram Negative Bacteria

Strain of the Organism →	E. coli			Р.	vulga	ris	К. с	ieroge	nes	C	. lutei	ıs	P. aeruginosa		
Concentration →	100	200	400	100	200	400	100	200	400	100	200	400	100	200	400
Chloroform root ext. of D. malabarica	14	15	18	9	11	12	14	14	15	-	-	1	1	1	-
Chloroform leaf extract of D. malabarica	13	14	17	8	10	12	13	12	14	6	9	1	5	8	8
Chloroform root extract of D. Condollena	22	21	22	29	29	31	8	9	12	6	10	11	13	15	15
Chloroform leaf extract of D. Condollena	18	20	22	26	26	28	6	8	11	6	9	12	13	13	14
Chloroform root extract of D. nigresensis	17	20	18	8	12	12	1	7	7	-	=	ı	9	10	10
Chloroform leaf extract of D. nigresensis	15	15	17	9	9	11	2	4	9	1	1	ı	5	6	9
Chloroform root extract of D. oocarpa	19	21	21	ı	ı	ı	-	ı	ı	-	1	1	-	1	1
Chloroform leaf extract of D. oocarpa	18	19	20	-	1	-	0	1	1	-	1	1	1	1	1
Chloroform root extract of D. montana	12	12	12	-	-	-	10	10	10	10	10	11	5	0	2
Chloroform leaf extract of D. montana	17	13	13	1	2	1	6	7	10	10	10	12	3	3	1
Control- Chloroform															
Standard : Ampicillin (10µg/ ml)	22			32			18			16			9		

Inactive (-): moderately active (7-13): highly active(> 14)

Table No.3 Antibacterial Activity of isolates of D. oocarpa, D. nigrisence and D. candolleana against Gram Positive Bacteria

Strain of the Organism →	S. albus			S.	aurea	us	S	. fecal	is	В	. subti	lis	C. diphtheriae			
Concentration →	25	50	75	25	50	75	25	50	75	25	50	75	25	50	75	
Habibone	16	16	14	10	10	10	14	15	19	29	35	28	14	16	16	
8'-Hydroxyisodiospyrin	32	31	32	27	21	28	23	22	25	30	31	31	18	20	20	
Diospyrin,	10	12	12	11	8	7	13	12	14	13	13	11	10	9	9	
4-Hydroxy-5methoxy-2- naphthaldehyde	18	19	22	14	14	12	13	12	13	15	19	22	22	20	12	
5-Hydroxy-4-methoxy-2- naphthaldehyde	30	28	22	12	8	13	22	22	19	28	27	27	40	26	26	
4-Hydroxy-3,5-dimethoxy-2- naphthaldehyde	12	12	12	10	9	11	12	13	12	15	13	10	10	10	9	
2-Methyl anthraquinone.	18	18	15	30	28	22	23	21	21	22	21	17	30	28	31	
Control- Chloroform																
Standard : Ampicillin (10µg/ ml)	15			12			13			16			9			

Inactive (-): moderately active (7-13): highly active(> 14)

Table No.4 Antibacterial Activity of isolates of D. oocarpa, D. nigrisence and D. candolleana against Gram Negative Bacteria

Strain of the Organism →	E. coli			Р.	vulga	ris	K.a	ieroge	nes	C	. lutei	ıs	P. aeruginosa			
Concentration →	25	50	75	25	50	75	25	50	75	25	50	75	25	50	75	
Habibone	28	25	20	45	40	42	33	31	31	28	20	25	31	39	39	
8'-Hydroxyisodiospyrin	24	26	26	21	30	24	20	21	20	>60	>60	>60	40	22	31	
Diospyrin	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	
4-Hydroxy-5methoxy-2- naphthaldehyde	18	17	22	14	14	12	13	12	13	15	19	22	22	20	12	
5-Hydroxy-4-methoxy-2- naphthaldehyde	32	34	32	32	32	25	28	28	34	23	19	19	17	19	19	
4-Hydroxy-3,5-dimethoxy-2- naphthaldehyde	-	-	3	-	5	5	2	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	
2-Methyl anthraquinone	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Control- Chloroform																
Standard :Ampicillin (10µg/ ml)	22			32		_	18	-		16			9			

Inactive(-): moderately active (7-13): highly active(> 14)

Table No.5 Antifungal Activity of the root and leaf extracts of *D. malabarica*, *D. oocarpa*, *D. nigrisence*, *D. candolleana* and *D. montana*.

Strain of the Organism →	A	A.niger			A. fumigatus			albica	ns	C.1	tropica	ılis	R. oryzae			
Concentration \longrightarrow	100	200	400	100	200	300	100	200	300	100	200	300	100	200	300	
Chloroform root ext. of D. malabarica	6	9	8	15	15	15	17	20	20	12	12	14	15	16	16	
Chloroform leaf ext.of D. malabarica	9	4	9	12	15	15	15	15	19	13	13	14	10	16	17	
Chloroform root ext.of D. Condollena	6	6	8	12	14	14	14	16	18	11	11	10	14	14	15	
Chloroform leaf ext of D. Condollena	5	6	7	8	12	13	16	15	18	9	10	12	13	13	13	
Chloroform root ext.of D. nigresensis	8	9	9	11	12	13	13	13	15	8	8	9	6	9	10	
Chloroform leaf ext of D. nigresensis	7	8	8	9	11	11	13	14	14	4	4	5	9	12	10	
Chloroform root ext.of D. oocarpa	9	10	9	15	15	16	6	15	16	-	7	7	19	20	20	
Chloroform leaf ext of D. oocarpa	10	11	11	8	15	15	12	12	14	7	6	6	17	20	21	
Chloroform root ext.of D. montana	7	7	7	12	15	13	10	12	14	8	8	8	16	19	18	
Chloroform leaf ext of D. montana	5	8	7	11	12	12	14	15	15	9	11	10	15	18	18	
Chloroform Control																
Standard- clotrimazole, 30 μg		21			23			18			14		20			

Table No.6 Antifungal Activity of the isolates of D. oocarpa, D. nigrisence and D. candolleana

Strain of the Organism →	A. niger			A. fumigatus			C.	albica	ıns	C. tropicalis			R. oryzae		
Concentration \rightarrow	25	50	75	25	50	75	25	50	75	25	50	75	25	50	75
Habibone	12	14	14	14	16	18	11	11	10	14	14	15	12	15	13
8'-Hydroxyisodiospyrin	18	17	22	14	14	12	15	19	22	22	20	12	22	20	12
Diospyrin	15	23	19	18	17	19	13	12	13	12	9	9	12	23	10
4-Hydroxy-5methoxy-2-naphthaldehyde	32	34	32	32	32	28	34	23	19	19	17	19	19	19	19
5-Hydroxy-4-methoxy-2-naphthaldehyde	28	34	23	19	19	17	19	19	19	19	17	19	19	19	19
4-Hydroxy-3,5-dimethoxy-2-naphthaldehyde	28	25	20	45	45	42	33	30	31	28	20	29	31	39	39
2-Methyl anthraquinone	-	8	9	4	4	7	-	-	-	8	7	9	2	-	-
Control- Chloroform					-										
Standard : clotrimazole, 30 μg		21			23			18		14			20		