# Hepatoprotective activity of *Launaea pinnatifida* against CCl<sub>4</sub> –induced hepatic injury in rats.

\* R.D. Pokharkar, S.B.Takate, R.D.Deshmukh, V.N. Gite, Department of Chemistry, Sangamner College, University of Pune, Sangamner Dist.- Ahmednagar, India.

## **Summary**

To evaluate the hepatoprotective activity of ethanolic extracts of *Launaea pinnatifida* against CCl<sub>4</sub> induced hepatic injury in rats.

In hepatotoxic rats, liver damage was studied by assessing parameters such as Alkaline phosphate (ALP), GOT, GPT, Glucose, Cholesterol and total protein concentration in blood. Hepatic damage is evidenced by rise in the level of alkaline phosphate, GOT, GPT, Glucose, Cholesterol and total protein. Liver shows a tendency to attain near normalcy in animals coadministered with Launaea Pinnatifida. Values of normal rats for GOT, GPT, alkaline phosphate, serum glucose, serum cholesterol and total protein are 157.89±19.31, 92.24+4.46, 147.75+9.07, 146.44+11.55, 72.14+5.16, 5.65+0.6 in IU/L respectively. Where as in CCl<sub>4</sub> treated rats values rose to 247.06±14.26, 184.4±12.11, 171.33±11.39, 180.47±6.92, 73.61±2.59, 3.29±1.38 in IU/L respectively. Silymarin treated rats GPT, Alk-PO<sub>4</sub>, serum-glucose, serum cholesterol and total protein levels values reduced to 179.83+15.91, 141.33+7.37, 190.9+18.15, 61.11+7.30, 6.43+0.70 in IU/L respectively. While in plant extract administered rats GOT, GPT, alkaline phosphate, Glucose, Cholesterol and total protein are 144.84+10.20, 124.34+14.20, 108.58+6.50, 187.11+11.6, 76.52±3.16, 4.45±0.38 in IU/L respectively attained near normal values. There is no significant change in bilurubin of normal rats  $(0.525\pm0.03)$ , CCl<sub>4</sub> treated rats  $(0.49\pm0.03)$ , silymarin treated rats (0.53+0.03) and Launaea pinnatifida treated rats (0.5+0.05). All these values are expressed as means + S.D.

Conclusion: *Launaea Pinnatifida* has potent Hepatoprotective activity against CCl<sub>4</sub> induced liver damage in rats.

Keywords: Hepatoprotective; Launaea pinnatifida; Silymarin, CCl<sub>4</sub>

Department of Chemistry, Sangamner College, University of Pune, Sangamner Dist.-Ahmednagar, India.

Email: rajyotidd@rediffmail.com Phone No. +91-9822858022

<sup>\*</sup>Correspondence to: Dr. R.D. Pokharkar, Reader

#### Introduction

Launaea pinnatifida belong to the family Asteraceae. This is seasonal plant found in India. Local name of plant is Bhoi Pathri. The leaves and root parts of this plant has been traditionally used as a folk remedy for the treatment of Jaundice, blood purifier, lactagogue, in Maharastra, India, especially by the tribal peoples of western ghats. Work on other plants of Asteraceae family like *Chamomile recutita* [1], *Wedelia paludosa* [2], *Carduus acanthoides* and *Nutans* [3], Have reported to posses clinically useful hepatoprotective activity but research on other family member having genus Launaea

have not done before this. At present, one of the plant-derived medicine approved for use in liver cirrhosis and alcoholic liver diseases is silymarin. There are number of studies which conclude the efficacy of silymarin in these conditions [4]. Silymarin is a mixture of flavonolignans from the fruits of *Silybum marianum* that has been known since ancient time and recommended in traditional European and Asian medicine mainly for the treatment of liver disorder [5]. Therefore in the present study silymarin was used as positive control to compare the efficacy of *Launaea pinnatifida against CCl*4-induced hepatotoxicity.

#### **Materials and Methods**

#### **Plant**

Launaea pinnatifida plant is collected from the fields in and around Ahmednagar (District), Maharastra, India and authenticated by the Botanical Survey of India, Pune. A voucher specimen is deposited in the Department of Chemistry in Sangamner College, Sangamner.

#### **Extraction**

Leaves and roots dried at  $40^{\circ}$ C and pulverized were extracted with 70% ethanol at room temperature for 72h and dried at  $60^{\circ}$ C to give a yellow coloured residue. A portion of the residue was dissolved in distilled water, filtered and dried to determine the amount of the water-soluble fraction in the residue. Prior to the experiment residue was dissolved in a saline/Cremophor (0.025%v/v) solution and diluted to desired concentration to give a water-soluble fraction (AFSC).

## Animals

Wistar rats of either sex, weighing 150-250 g, were used. Animals were housed under controlled conditions of temperature ( $25\pm2^{0}$ C) and photoperiod 12-h light/dark and fed with standard rodent pellet diet with tap water ad libitum.

# Induction of hepatic injury

Hepatic injury was induced in rats by subcutaneous administration of a single dose of 0.3 ml/kg CC1<sub>4</sub> mixed with 0.5ml liq. Paraffin on the 7th day, 2 h after the last treatment [6]. Animals were grouped as follows:

Normal Control group: Treated with vehicle (0.5ml,liq.paraffin i.p.) on first day. Followed by 2ml D/W daily for 7 days.

CCl<sub>4</sub> control group: Treated with 0.7ml/kg CCl<sub>4</sub> in 0.5ml. Liq.paraffin i.p. Followed by 2.0ml D/M water oral dose daily for 7 days.

CCl<sub>4</sub> Recovery group: Treated with 0.7ml/kg CCl<sub>4</sub> in 0.5ml. Liq.paraffin i.p on first day, Followed by 2.0ml D/M water oral dose daily for 7 days.

Silymarin control group: Treated with 0.7ml/kg CCl<sub>4</sub> in 0.5ml. Liq.paraffin i.p. and 0.007g/kg silymarin daily for 7 days.

Plant extract group: Treated with 0.7ml/kg CCl<sub>4</sub> in 0.5ml. Liq.paraffin i.p.and 0.66g/kg plant extract dose daily for 7 days.

On day 9, 48 h after CC1<sub>4</sub> administration, blood sample of each animal was taken from abdominal aorta under pentobarbitone anesthesia (35 mg/kg i.p.) and serum cholesterol [7], GOT, GPT, Bilirubin [8], serum glucose, total protein [9] and alkaline phosphates [10] were evaluated.

### Statistical analysis

All values are expressed as means  $\pm$ S.D. The results were calculated and subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Student's t-test. P values of N>0.05 were considered significant [11].

#### **Results**

## Food consumption and weight gain

We observed that food consumption and weight gain significantly increased in-group III animals as compared to other groups. In-group II rats there was a lesser weight gain as compared to group I animals.(Table-1)

Table 1 Effect of Launaea Pinnatifida ethanolic extract on body weight

G	Body weights of rats (g) <sup>#</sup>						
Groups	1 <sup>st</sup> Day	2 <sup>nd</sup> Day	3 <sup>rd</sup> Day	4 <sup>th</sup> Day	5 <sup>th</sup> Day	6 <sup>th</sup> day	7 <sup>th</sup> Day
Normal	160.9 <u>+</u>	161.33 <u>+</u>	161.5 <u>+</u>	162 <u>+</u> 3.6	162.9 <u>+</u>	163.9 <u>+</u>	164.5 <u>+</u>
control	3.2	3.3	3.5		3.2	4.2	2.6
CCl <sub>4</sub> control	155.1 <u>+</u>	146.2 <u>+</u>	140.2 <u>+</u>	135.0 <u>+</u>	130 <u>+</u>	127.5 <u>+</u>	122.9 <u>+</u>
	4.2**	4.6*	5.0**	5.0*	5.1**	4.5*	5.0**
CCl <sub>4</sub> recovery	154.1 <u>+</u>	156.5 <u>+</u>	158.5 <u>+</u>	158.9 <u>+</u>	159.2 <u>+</u>	160.1 <u>+</u>	160.2 <u>+</u>
	5.0*	4.5**	4.8***	5.0*	5.2**	3.2**	4.3**
Silymarin	158.1 <u>+</u>	160.2 <u>+</u>	161.1 <u>+</u>	161.5 <u>+</u>	162.0 <u>+</u>	162.5 <u>+</u>	163.0 <u>+</u>
control	3.2***	9.0***	6.2**	7.5***	8.0***	3.2***	3.6***
Plant extract	160.1 <u>+</u>	160.5 <u>+</u>	161.8 <u>+</u>	161.8 <u>+</u>	162.2 <u>+</u>	162.9 <u>+</u>	163.2 <u>+</u>
control	4.0**	3.2**	4.0**	4.5**	3.2**	2.6**	5.9***

N=6  $^{\#}$ Values are expressed as mean of  $\pm$  S.D.\*\*\*P <0.001, \*\*P <0.01, \*P <0.05 in comparison to Normal control group.

# Serum marker enzymes

All the marker enzymes, *viz.*, AST, ALT, ALP and GGT registered enhanced activity in CCl<sub>4</sub>-treated rats as compared to control group (Table 2). In MEC coadministered group, the levels of these enzymes were found retrieving towards normalcy.

Table 2 Effect of Launaea pinnatifida ethanolic extract on CCl<sub>4</sub>-induced liver damage in rats

Groups	BILI	GOT	GPT	Alk-	Serum		Total
					Glucose	Serum	protein
				$PO_4$	(mg/dl)	cholesterol	
						(mg/dl)	
Normal	0 525	157.89 <u>+</u>	92.24 <u>+</u>	147.75 <u>+</u>	146.44 <u>+</u>	72.14 <u>+</u>	5.65 <u>+</u>
control	<u>+</u>	19.31	4.46	9.07	11.55	5.16	0.6
(Group-I)	0.03						
CCl <sub>4</sub> control	0.49 <u>+</u>	247.06 <u>+</u>	184.4 <u>+</u>	171.33 <u>+</u>	180.47 <u>+</u>	73.61 <u>+</u>	3.29 <u>+</u>
(Group-II)	0.03	14.26**	12.11	11.39	6.92	2.59	$1.38^{**}$
CCl <sub>4</sub>	0.53 <u>+</u>	217.98 <u>+</u>	155.83+	171.33 <u>+</u>	222.73 <u>+</u>	71.79 <u>+</u>	2.26 <u>+</u>
Recovery	0.02	27.35*	12.61****	20.69	14.8*	6.2	$0.20^{\frac{1}{***}}$
(Group-III)							
Silymarin	0.53 <u>+</u>	248.75 <u>+</u>	179.83 <u>+</u>	141.33 <u>+</u>	190.9 <u>+</u>	61.11 <u>+</u>	6.43 <u>+</u>
(Group-IV)	0.03	20.18**	15.91****	7.37***	18.15	7.30	0.70
Plant extract	0.54 <u>+</u>	144.84 <u>+</u>	124.34 <u>+</u>	108.58+	187.11 <u>+</u>	76.52 <u>+</u>	4.45 <u>+</u>
Group-V)	0.05	10.20*	14.20*	6.50***	11.6**	3.16	0.38*

N=6

Values are expressed as mean of + S.D.

#### Other biochemical parameters

The total protein concentration of the serum and liver was lesser in Group II animals, when compared with normal control, and it attained an almost normal value in group III rats. The level of total lipids, triglycerides and cholesterol in serum as well as liver recorded significant increment in CCl<sub>4</sub>- administered rats as compared to those of group I. All these biochemical changes showed signs of returning towards the normalcy in-group III animals. There was a significant decline in the concentration of phospholipids in liver tissues of CCl<sub>4</sub>-treated rats as compared to normal control. In group III animals phospholipid concentration attained normalcy. (Table 3)

<sup>\*\*\*</sup>P <0.001 as compared to group II, \*\*P <0.01 as compared to group II

<sup>\*</sup>P < 0.05 as compared to group II

Groups	Liver Weight <sup>#</sup> (gm)	Liver Volume <sup>#</sup> (ml)		
Normal control	6.32 <u>+</u> 0.05	<u>7.95+</u> 0.05		
CCl <sub>4</sub> control	7.752 <u>+</u> 0.04 **	11.01 <u>+</u> 0.07***		
CCl <sub>4</sub> Recovery	7.269 <u>+</u> 0.06**	9.05 <u>+</u> 0.07***		
Silymarin control	6.63 <u>+</u> 0.09***	8.45 <u>+</u> 0.05**		
Plant extract	6.07 <u>+</u> 0.10**	7.75 <u>+</u> 0.12**		

Table 3 Effect Launaea Pinnatifida ethanolic extract on liver weight and volume

N=6  $^{\#}$ Values are expressed as mean of  $\pm$  S.D.\*\*\*P <0.001, \*\*P <0.01, \*P <0.05 in comparison to Normal control group.

#### **Discussion**

Carbon tetrachloride is one of the most commonly used hepatotoxin. It is well documented that carbontetrachloride is biotransformed under the action of cytochrome P-450 in the microsomal compartment of liver to trichlomethyl radical which readily reacts with molecular oxygen to form trichloromethyloeroxy radical [12]. This free radical in the presence of oxygen may cause peroxidation of lipid on target cell resulting in extensive damage [13]. Administration of CC1<sub>4</sub> (0.3 ml s.c.) to rats produced hepatotoxicity showed by significant increase in the serum levels of GOT, GPT and alkaline phosphate in comparison to control group. Also total protein levels were significantly decreased to 3.29g/dl in CCl<sub>4</sub> control groups from the level of 5.65g/dl in normal control group as shown in the Table 1. Ethanolic extract of Launaea pinnatifida given at dose 1000mg/kg not only prevented the rise in serum level of GOT, GPT, alkaline phosphates but also improved serum lipid profile. The results are well comparable with silymarin (standard drug) treated group [14]. In conclusion, the results of present study show that Launaea pinnatifida has potent hepatoprotective activity against carbontetrachloride induced liver damage in rats. Further investigation is in process to determine the exact phytoconstituent(s) responsible for hepatoprotective effect.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors thank Dr. R.T.Sane and his staff of Ramnarain Ruia College, Mumbai for their assistance in animal experimentation.

#### References

- 1. Ajay Kumar Gupta, Havagiray Chitme, Sujata K.Dass. Journal of Pharmacology and toxicology 1(2): 101-107,2006
- 2. Meotti FC, RosaJM, Brocardo PS, Balz D; J.Pharma Pharmacology, 2006.Jan; 58(1) 137-42.

# **Pharmacologyonline 2: 128-133 (2007)**

### Pokharkar et al.

- 3. Goknur Aktay, Journal of Ethnopharmacology Volume 73, Issues 1-2, November 2000 Pages 121-129.
- 4. Saller R, Meier R, Brignoli R. Drugs 2001; 61:2035.
- 5. Skottova N, Krecman V. Physiol Res 1998; 47:1.
- 6. Saraswat B, Visen PKS, Patnaik GK, Dhawan B.N. Indian J Exp Biol 1993; 31:316.
- 7. Zlatkis A, Zak BB, Boyle GJB. J Lab Clin Med 1953; 41:86.
- 8. Van Handle E, Zilversmit DB. J Lab Clin Med 1957; 50:152.
- 9. Reitman S, Frankel S. Am J Clin Pathol 1957; 28:56.
- 10. Kind PRN, King EJ. J Clin Pathol 1971; 7:322.
- 11. Ghosh M. N. Fundamentals of experimental pharmacology, 2nd ed. Calcutta Scientific Book Agency; 1984. p. 154.
- 12. Raucy JL, Lasher J. Bioactivation of halogenated hydrocarbons by cytochrome P-450 E, Crit Rev. Toxicol 1993,23:1-20.
- 13. Recknagel R.O. Trends Pharmacol Sci 1983; 4:129.
- 14. Bhattacharyya D, Pandit S, Mukherjee R, Das N, Sur TK. Indian J Physiol Pharmacol 2003; 47:435