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Summary

Oral administration of different dosage forms is the most commonly used method due to flexibility in design of dosage form and high patient acceptance, but the gastrointestinal tract presents several formidable barriers to drug delivery. The colon is a site where both local and systemic delivery of drugs can take place. Local delivery allows topical treatment of inflammatory bowel disease. However, treatment can be made effective if the drugs can be targeted directly into the colon, thereby reducing the systemic side effects. Different approaches are designed based on prodrug formulation, pH-sensitivity, time-dependency (lag time), microbial degradation and osmotic pressure etc to formulate the different dosage forms like tablets, capsules, multiparticulates, microspheres, liposomes for colon targeting. This review, mainly compares the primary approaches for CDDS (Colon Specific Drug Delivery) namely prodrugs, pH and time dependent systems, and microbiologically triggered systems, which achieved limited success and had limitations as compared with newer CDDS namely pressure controlled colonic delivery capsules, CODESTM, and osmotic controlled drug delivery which are unique in terms of achieving in vivo site specificity, and feasibility of manufacturing process. Colon targeting is naturally of value for the topical treatment of diseases of colon such as Chron's diseases, ulcerative colitis, colorectal cancer and amebiasis. Peptides, proteins, oligonucleotides and vaccines pose potential candidature for colon targeted drug delivery.
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Introduction

Oral controlled - release formulations for the small intestine and colon have received considerable attention in the past 25 years for a variety of reasons including pharmaceutical superiority and clinical benefits derived from the drug - release pattern that are not achieved with traditional immediate (or) sustained - release products¹. Targeted drug delivery into the colon is highly desirable for local treatment of a variety of bowel diseases such as ulcerative colitis, Crohn’s disease, amebiosis, colonic cancer, local treatment of colonic pathologies, and systemic delivery of protein and peptide drugs.²
The colon specific drug delivery system (CDDS) should be capable of protecting the drug en route to the colon i.e. drug release and absorption should not occur in the stomach as well as the small intestine, and neither the bioactive agent should be degraded in either of the dissolution sites but only released and absorbed once the system reaches the colon. The colon is believed to be a suitable absorption site for peptides and protein drugs for the following reasons; (i) less diversity, and intensity of digestive enzymes, (ii) comparative proteolytic activity of colon mucosa is much less than that observed in the small intestine, thus CDDS protects peptide drugs from hydrolysis, and enzymatic degradation in duodenum and jejunum, and eventually releases the drug into ileum or colon which leads to greater systemic bioavailability. And finally, because the colon has a long residence time which is up to 5 days and is highly responsive to absorption enhancers Oral route is the most convenient and preferred route but other routes for CDDS may be used. Rectal administration offers the shortest route for targeting drugs to the colon. However, reaching the proximal part of colon via rectal administration is difficult. Rectal administration can also be uncomfortable for patients and compliance may be less than optimal. Drug preparation for intrarectal administration is supplied as solutions, foam, and suppositories. The intrarectal route is used both as a means of systemic dosing and for the delivery of topically active drug to the large intestine. Corticosteroids such as hydrocortisone and prednisolone are administered via the rectum for the treatment of ulcerative colitis. Although these drugs are absorbed from the large bowel, it is generally believed that their efficacy is due mainly to the topical application. The concentration of drug reaching the colon depends on formulation factors, the extent of retrograde spreading and the retention time. Foam and suppositories have been shown to be retained mainly in the rectum and sigmoid colon while enema solutions have a great spreading capacity. Colon targeted drug delivery would ensures direct treatment at the disease site, lower dosing and fewer systemic side effects. In addition to restricted therapy, the colon can also be utilized as a portal for the entry of drugs into the systemic circulation. Because of the high water absorption capacity of the colon, the colonic contents are considerably viscous and their mixing is not efficient, thus availability of most drugs to the absorptive membrane is low. The human colon has over 400 distinct species of bacteria as resident flora, a possible population of up to 1010 bacteria per gram of colonic contents. Among the reactions carried out by these gut flora are azoreduction and enzymatic cleavage i.e. glycosides. These metabolic processes may be responsible for the metabolism of many drugs and may also be applied to colon-targeted delivery of peptide based macromolecules such as insulin by oral administration.

**NEED OF COLON TARGETED DRUG DELIVERY**

- Targeted drug delivery to the colon would ensure direct treatment at the disease site, lower dosing and fewer systemic side effects.
- Site-specific or targeted drug delivery system would allow oral administration of peptide and protein drugs, colon-specific formulation could also be used to prolong the drug delivery.
- Colon-specific drug delivery system is considered to be beneficial in the treatment of colon diseases.
- The colon is a site where both local or systemic drug delivery could be achieved, topical treatment of inflammatory bowel disease, e.g. ulcerative colitis or Crohn’s disease. Such inflammatory conditions are usually treated with glucocorticoids and sulphasalazine (targeted).
- A number of others serious diseases of the colon, e.g. colorectal cancer, might also be capable of being treated more effectively if drugs were targeted to the colon.
- Formulations for colonic delivery are also suitable for delivery of drugs which are polar and/or susceptible to chemical and enzymatic degradation in the upper GI tract, highly affected by hepatic metabolism, in particular, therapeutic proteins and peptides.
The GI tract is divided into stomach, small intestine, and large intestine. The large intestine extending from the ileocecal junction to the anus is divided into three main parts. These are the colon, the rectum, and the anal canal. The entire colon is about 5 feet (150 cm) long, and is divided into five major segments. Peritoneal folds called as mesentry which is supported by ascending and descending colon. The right colon consists of the cecum, ascending colon, hepatic flexure, and the right half of the transverse colon and the values were shown in Table 1. The left colon contain the left half of the transverse colon, descending colon, splenic flexure, and sigmoid. The rectum is the last anatomic segment before the anus. The human intestine and colon were shown in Figure 1 and Figure 2 respectively. The major function of the colon is the creation of suitable environment for the growth of colonic microorganisms, storage reservoir of faecal contents, expulsion of the contents of the colon at an appropriate time, and absorption of potassium and water from the lumen. The absorptive capacity is very high, each about 2000 ml of fluid enters the colon through the ileocecal valve from which more than 90% of the fluid is absorbed. On average, it has been estimated that colon contains only about 220 gm of wet material equivalent to just 35 gm of dry matter. The majority of this dry matter is bacteria. The colon tissue containing the villi, lymph, muscle, nerves, and vessels.
MEASURES OF DIFFERENT PARTS OF COLON

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.NO</th>
<th>Large Intestine</th>
<th>Length(cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Cecum</td>
<td>6-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ascending colon</td>
<td>20-25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Descending colon</td>
<td>10-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Transverse colon</td>
<td>40-45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Sigmoid colon</td>
<td>35-40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Rectum</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Anal canal</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Measures of different parts of colon

COLONIC MICROFLORA

A large number of anaerobic and aerobic bacteria are present the entire length of the human GI tract. Over 400 distinct bacterial species have been found, 20-30% of which are of the genus bacteroids. The upper region of the GIT has a very small number of bacteria and predominantly consists of gram positive facultative bacteria. The rate of microbial growth is greatest in the proximal areas because of high concentration of energy source. The metabolic activity of microflora can be modified by various factors such as age, GI disease, and intake of drug and fermentation of dietary residues.

pH DIFFERENCES IN THE COLON

On entry in to the colon, the pH dropped to 6.4 ± 0.5. The pH in the mid colon was found to be 6.6 ± 1 and in the left colon, 7.0 ± 1 and the values are shown in Table 2.

GASTROINTESTINAL TRANSIT

Gastric emptying of dosage form is highly variable and depends primarily on whether the subject is fed or fasted and on the properties of the dosage form such as size and density. The transit times of dosage forms in tract are shown in Table 3.

AVERAGE pH OF THE GI TRACT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>pH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Stomach</td>
<td>1.5 – 2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fasted condition</td>
<td>3.0 – 5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fed condition</td>
<td>5.0 – 6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Small intestine</td>
<td>6.0 – 7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jejunum</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ileum</td>
<td>6.7 – 7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Large intestine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right colon</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid colon and</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left colon</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Average pH of the GI Tract
Diseases affecting colonic transit have important implications for drug delivery, diarrhea increases colonic transit and constipation decreases it. The digestive motility pattern takes place when food is present in the stomach. It is said by regular, frequent contractions (about 4-5/min.) which effect the mixing intestinal contents and moving them towards the colon in short segments and lasts as long as food remains present in the stomach. The most frequent movements seen in the colon are very slow segmenting movements that typically occurs every 30 minutes.\(^\text{38}\)

**DRUG ABSORPTION IN THE COLON**

Drugs are absorbed passively by either paracellular or transcellular route. Transcellular absorption involves the passage of drugs through cells and this is the route most lipophilic drugs takes, where paracellular absorption involves the transport of drug through the tight junction between cells and is the route most hydrophilic drug takes.\(^\text{39}\) The colon may not be the best site for drug absorption since the colonic mucosa lacks well defined villi as found in the small intestine. The slower rate if transit in colon lets the drug stay in contact mucosa for a longer period than in small intestine which compensates much lower surface area. The colon contents become more viscous with progressive absorption of water as one travels further through the colon. This causes a reduced dissolution rate, slow diffusion of drug through the mucosa. Theoretically, drug absorption can occur along the entire GI tract, while in actuality, most drugs are absorbed in the duodenum and proximal jejunum. Recent studies have shown that some drugs (e.g. Theophyline and Metoprolol) continue to be absorbed in the colon.

**ORAL PREPARATIONS**\(^\text{40-45}\)

Solid formulations intended for targeted drug release into the lower gastrointestinal (GI) tract are beneficial for the localized treatment of several diseases and conditions, mainly inflammatory bowel diseases, irritable bowel syndrome and colon cancer. Also, because of their natural potential to delay or avoid systemic absorption of drug from the small intestine, colonic formulations can be utilized for chronotherapy of diseases which are affected by circadian biorhythms (e.g., asthma, hypertension and arthritis), and to achieve clinically significant bioavailability of drugs that are poorly absorbed from the upper parts of the gastrointestinal tract because of their polar nature and/or vulnerability to chemical and enzymatic degradation in the small intestine (e.g., peptides and proteins). The recent patent literature pertaining to various modified release (MR) formulation methods that are claimed to provide colonic delivery for a wide range of therapeutic agents. These technologies either utilize a single or a combination of two or more physiological characteristics of the colon, which includes pH, microflora (enterobacteria), transit time, and luminal pressure. Accordingly, these technologies may be grouped under four distinct classes:

1. pH-controlled (or delayed-release) system
2. Time-controlled (or time-dependent) system
3. Microbially-controlled system
4. Pressure-controlled system.
Among these, formulations that release drugs in response to colonic pH, entero-bacteria, or both are most common and promising.

**TOPICAL PREPARATIONS**

Topical Preparations (foams, suppositories or enemas) plays major role in ulcerative colitis, either alone or in combination with oral steroids. They should generally not be used once a patient requires high-dose oral or intravenous steroid therapy.

**COLONIC DISEASES**

- Crohn’s Diseases
- Ulcerative Colitis
- Diversional Colitis
- Ischemic Colitis
- Diverticular Inflammatory Bowel Disease
- Colon Cancer
- Lymphoma of the Colon

The cause of inflammatory bowel disease is multi-factoral and it is due to the inflammatory responses, genetic factors such as multiple genetic factors, candidate genes, chromosome location, etc., infectious agents like Escherichia coli, Measles virus, Cytomegalovirus, etc., dietary factors such as saturated fats, milk products, allergic foods etc. It is a general term that has the following two diseases,

1. Ulcerative colitis
2. Crohn’s disease

**Ulcerative colitis** occurs only in the large intestine. Ulcers form in the inner lining of the intestine, or mucosa, of the colon or rectum, often resulting in diarrhea, blood, and pus. The inflammation is usually very vigorous in the sigmoid and rectum and usually reduces in the colon.

**Crohn's disease:** Crohn's disease, also called regional enteritis, is a chronic inflammation of the intestines which is usually confined to the terminal portion of the small intestine, the ileum. Ulcerative colitis is a common inflammation of the colon, or large intestine. These diseases and other inflammatory bowel disease have been linked with an increased risk of colorectal cancer.

**ADVANTAGES OF CDDS OVER CONVENTIONAL DRUG DELIVERY**

Chronic colitis, namely ulcerative colitis, and Crohn’s disease are currently treated with glucocorticoids, and other anti-inflammatory agents. Administration of glucocorticoids namely dexamethasone and methyl prednisolone by oral and intravenous routes produce systemic side effects including adenosuppression, immunosuppression, cushinoid symptoms, and bone resorption. Thus selective delivery of drugs to the colon could not only lower the required dose but also reduce the systemic side effects caused by high doses.

**CRITERIA FOR SELECTION OF DRUG FOR CDDS**

The best Candidates for CDDS are drugs which show poor absorption from the stomach or intestine including peptides. The drugs used in the treatment of IBD, ulcerative colitis, diarrhea, and colon cancer are ideal candidates for local colon delivery. The criteria for selection of drugs for CDDS is summarized in Table 2. Drug Carrier is another factor which influences CDDS. The selection of carrier for particular drugs depends on the physiochemical nature of the drug as well as the disease for which the system is to be used. Factors such as chemical nature, stability and partition coefficient of the drug and type of absorption enhancer chosen influence the carrier selection. Moreover, the choice of drug carrier depends on the functional groups of the drug molecule. The carriers, which contain additives like polymers (may be used as matrices and hydro gels or coating agents) may influence the release properties and efficacy of the systems.
CRITERIA FOR SELECTION OF DRUGS FOR CDDS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Pharmacological class</th>
<th>Non-peptide drugs</th>
<th>Peptide drugs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Drugs used for local effects in colon against GIT diseases</td>
<td>Anti-inflammatory drugs</td>
<td>Oxyprenolol, Metoprolol, Nifedipine, Ibuprofen, Isosorbides,</td>
<td>Amylin, Antisense oligonucleotide, Cyclosporine,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drugs poorly absorbed from upper GIT</td>
<td>Antihypertensive and antianginal drugs</td>
<td>Theophylline</td>
<td>Desmopressin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drugs for colon cancer</td>
<td>Antineoplastic drugs</td>
<td>Pseudoephedrine</td>
<td>Epoetin, Glucagon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drugs that degrade in stomach and small intestine</td>
<td>Peptides and proteins</td>
<td>Bromophenaramine, 5-Flourouracil, Doxorubicin</td>
<td>Gonadoreline, Insulin, Interferons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drugs that undergo extensive first pass metabolism</td>
<td>Nitroglycerin and corticosteroids</td>
<td>Bleomycin, Nicotine</td>
<td>Protirelin, Sermorelin, Saloatonin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drugs for targeting</td>
<td>Antiarthritic and antiasthamatic drugs</td>
<td>Prednisolone, hydrocortisone, 5-Amino-salicylic acid</td>
<td>Somatropin, Urotoilitin</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Criteria for selection of drugs for CDDS

APPROACHES USED FOR SITE SPECIFIC DRUG DELIVERY TO COLON (CDDS)

Several approaches are used for site-specific drug delivery. Among the primary approaches for CDDS, these include:

1) Primary Approaches for CDDS

- **pH Sensitive Polymer Coated Drug Delivery to the Colon**
  In the stomach, pH ranges between 1 and 2 during fasting but increases after eating. The pH is about 6.5 in the proximal small intestine, and about 7.5 in the distal small intestine. From the ileum to the colon, pH declines significantly. It is about 6.4 in the cecum. However, pH values as low as 5.7 have been measured in the ascending colon in healthy volunteers. The pH in the transverse colon is 6.6 and 7.0 in the descending colon. Use of pH dependent polymers is based on these differences in pH levels. The polymers described as pH dependent in colon specific drug delivery are insoluble at low pH levels but become increasingly soluble as pH rises. Although a pH dependent polymer can protect a formulation in the stomach, and proximal small intestine, it may start to dissolve in the lower small intestine, and the site-specificity of formulations can be poor. The decline in pH from the end of the small intestine to the colon can also result in problems, lengthy lag times at the ileo-cecal junction or rapid transit through the ascending colon which can also result in poor site-specificity of enteric-coated single-unit formulations.

- **Delayed (Time Controlled Release System) Release Drug Delivery to Colon**
  Time controlled release system (TCRS) such as sustained or delayed release dosage forms are also very promising drug release systems. However, due to potentially large variations of gastric emptying time of dosage forms in humans, in these approaches, colon arrival time of dosage forms cannot be accurately predicted, resulting in poor colonical availability. The dosage forms may also be applicable as colon targeting dosage forms by prolonging the lag time of about 5 to 6 h. However, the disadvantages of this system are:
Gastric emptying time varies markedly between subjects or in a manner dependent on type and amount of food intake. Gastrointestinal movement, especially peristalsis or contraction in the stomach would result in change in gastrointestinal transit of the drug. Accelerated transit through different regions of the colon has been observed in patients with the IBD, the carcinoid syndrome and diarrhea, and the ulcerative colitis. Therefore, time dependent systems are not ideal to deliver drugs to the colon specifically for the treatment of colon related diseases. Appropriate integration of pH sensitive and time release functions into a single dosage form may improve the site specificity of drug delivery to the colon. Since the transit time of dosage forms in the small intestine is less variable i.e. about 3±1 hr. The time-release function (or timer function) should work more efficiently in the small intestine as compared the stomach. In the small intestine drug carrier will be delivered to the target side, and drug release will begin at a predetermined time point after gastric emptying. On the other hand, in the stomach, the drug release should be suppressed by a pH sensing function (acid resistance) in the dosage form, which would reduce variation in gastric residence time. Enteric coated time-release press coated (ETP) tablets, are composed of three components, a drug containing core tablet (rapid release function), the press coated swellable hydrophobic polymer layer (Hydroxy propyl cellulose layer (HPC), time release function) and an enteric coating layer (acid resistance function). The tablet does not release the drug in the stomach due to the acid resistance of the outer enteric coating layer. After gastric emptying, the enteric coating layer rapidly dissolves and the intestinal fluid begins to slowly erode the press coated polymer (HPC) layer. When the erosion front reaches the core tablet, rapid drug release occurs since the erosion process takes a long time as there is no drug release period (lag phase) after gastric emptying. The duration of lag phase is controlled either by the weight or composition of the polymer (HPC) layer. (Fig. 1)

**Figure 3: Design of enteric coated timed-release press coated tablet (ETP Tablet)**

- **Microbiologically Triggered Drug Delivery to Colon**
  The microflora of the colon is in the range of 10^11 -10^12 CFU/ mL, consisting mainly of anaerobic bacteria, e.g. bacteroides, bifidobacteria, eubacteria, clostridia, enterococci,
enterobacteria and ruminococcus etc.\textsuperscript{28} This vast microflora fulfills its energy needs by fermenting various types of substrates that have been left undigested in the small intestine, e.g. di- and tri-saccharides, polysaccharides etc.\textsuperscript{22,33} For this fermentation, the microflora produces a vast number of enzymes like glucoronidase, xylosidase, arabinosidase, galactosidase, nitroreductase, azareducatase, deaminase, and urea dehydroxylase.\textsuperscript{34} Because of the presence of the biodegradable enzymes only in the colon, the use of biodegradable polymers for colon-specific drug delivery seems to be a more site-specific approach as compared to other approaches.\textsuperscript{5} These polymers shield the drug from the environments of stomach and small intestine, and are able to deliver the drug to the colon. On reaching the colon, they undergo assimilation by micro-organism, or degradation by enzyme or break down of the polymer backbone leading to a subsequent reduction in their molecular weight and thereby loss of mechanical strength.\textsuperscript{35,36,37,38,39} They are then unable to hold the drug entity any longer.\textsuperscript{40}

- **Prodrug Approach for Drug Delivery to Colon**
  Prodrug is a pharmacologically inactive derivative of a parent drug molecule that requires spontaneous or enzymatic transformation in vivo to release the active drug. For colonic delivery, the prodrug is designed to undergo minimal hydrolysis in the upper tracts of GIT, and undergo enzymatic hydrolysis in the colon thereby releasing the active drug moiety from the drug carrier. Metabolism of azo compounds by intestinal bacteria is one of the most extensively studied bacterial metabolic process.\textsuperscript{41} A number of other linkages susceptible to bacterial hydrolysis specially in the colon have been prepared where the drug is attached to hydrophobic moieties like amino acids, glucoronic acids, glucose, galctose, cellulose etc. Limitations of the prodrug approach is that it is not a very versatile approach as its formulation depends upon the functional group available on the drug moiety for chemical linkage. Furthermore, prodrugs are new chemical entities, and need a lot of evaluation before being used as carriers.\textsuperscript{41}

- **Azo-Polymeric Prodrugs**
  Newer approaches are aimed at the use of polymers as drug carriers for drug delivery to the colon. Both synthetic as well as naturally occurring polymers have been used for this purpose. Sub synthetic polymers have been used to form polymeric prodrug with azo linkage between the polymer and drug moiety.\textsuperscript{18} These have been evaluated for CDDS. Various azo polymers have also been evaluated as coating materials over drug cores. These have been found to be similarly susceptible to cleavage by the azoreducatase in the large bowel. Coating of peptide capsules with polymers cross linked with azoaromatic group have been found to protect the drug from digestion in the stomach and small intestine. In the colon, the azo bonds are reduced, and the drug is released.\textsuperscript{31}

- **Polysaccharide Based Delivery Systems**
  The use of naturally occurring polysaccharides is attracting a lot of attention for drug targeting the colon since these polymers of monosaccharides are found in abundance, have wide availability are inexpensive and are available in a variety of structures with varied properties. They can be easily modified chemically, biochemically, and are highly stable, safe, nontoxic, hydrophilic and gel forming and in addition, are biodegradable. These include naturally occurring polysaccharides obtained from plant (guar gum, inulin), animal (chitosan, chondrotin sulphate), algal (alginites) or microbial (dextran) origin. The polysaccrides can be broken down by the colonic microflora to simple saccharides.\textsuperscript{24} Therefore, they fall into the category of “generally regarded as safe” (GRAS).
Newly Developed Approaches for CDDS

Pressure Controlled Drug-Delivery Systems

As a result of peristalsis, higher pressures are encountered in the colon than in the small intestine. Takaya et al. developed pressure controlled colon-delivery capsules prepared using ethylcellulose, which is insoluble in water. In such systems, drug release occurs following the disintegration of a water-insoluble polymer capsule because of pressure in the lumen of the colon. The thickness of the ethylcellulose membrane is the most important factor for the disintegration of the formulation. The system also appeared to depend on capsule size and density. Because of reabsorption of water from the colon, the viscosity of luminal content is higher in the colon than in the small intestine. It has therefore been concluded that drug dissolution in the colon could present a problem in relation to colon-specific oral drug delivery systems. In pressure controlled ethylcellulose single unit capsules the drug is in a liquid. Lag times of three to five hours in relation to drug absorption were noted when pressure-controlled capsules were administered to humans.

Novel Colon Targeted Delivery System (CODESTM)

CODESTM is an unique CDDS technology that was designed to avoid the inherent problems associated with pH or time dependent systems. CODESTM is a combined approach of pH dependent and microbially triggered CDDS. It has been developed by utilizing a unique mechanism involving lactulose, which acts as a trigger for site specific drug release in the colon, (Fig. 2). The system consists of a traditional tablet core containing lactulose, which is over coated with and acid soluble material, Eudragit E, and then subsequently overcoated with an enteric material, Eudragit L. The premise of the technology is that the enteric coating protects the tablet while it is located in the stomach and then dissolves quickly following gastric emptying. The acid soluble material coating then protects the preparation as it passes through the alkaline pH of the small intestine. Once the tablet arrives in the colon, the bacteria enzymatically degrade the polysaccharide (lactulose) into organic acid. This lowers the pH surrounding the system sufficient to effect the dissolution of the acid soluble coating and subsequent drug release.
Osmotic Controlled Drug Delivery (ORDS-CT)

The OROS-CT (Alza corporation) can be used to target the drug locally to the colon for the treatment of disease or to achieve systemic absorption that is otherwise unattainable. The OROS-CT system can be a single osmotic unit or may incorporate as many as 5-6 push-pull units, each 4 mm in diameter, encapsulated within a hard gelatin capsule, (Fig. 3). Each bilayer push pull unit contains an osmotic push layer and a drug layer, both surrounded by a semipermeable membrane. An orifice is drilled through the membrane next to the drug layer. Immediately after the OROS-CT is swallowed, the gelatin capsule containing the push-pull units dissolves. Because of its drug-impermeable enteric coating, each push-pull unit is prevented from absorbing water in the acidic aqueous environment of the stomach, and hence no drug is delivered. As the unit enters the small intestine, the coating dissolves in this higher pH environment (pH >7), water enters the unit, causing the osmotic push compartment to swell, and concomitantly creates a flowable gel in the drug compartment. Swelling of the osmotic push compartment forces drug gel out of the orifice at a rate precisely controlled by the rate of water transport through the semipermeable membrane. For treating ulcerative colitis, each push pull unit is designed with a 3-4 h post gastric delay to prevent drug delivery in the small intestine. Drug release begins when the unit reaches the colon. OROS-CT units can maintain a constant release rate for up to 24 hours in the colon or can deliver drug over a period as short as four hours. Recently, new phase transited systems have come which promise to be a good tool for targeting drugs to the colon. Various in vitro / in vivo evaluation techniques have been developed and proposed to test the performance and stability of CDDS.

Figure 5: Cross-Section of the OROS-CT colon targeted drug delivery system

For in vitro evaluation, no any standardized evaluation technique is available for evaluation of CDDS because an ideal in vitro model should posses the in-vivo conditions of GIT such as pH, volume, stirring, bacteria, enzymes, enzyme activity, and other components of food. Generally, these conditions are influenced by the diet, physical stress, and these factors make it difficult to design a slandered in-vitro model. In vitro models used for CDDS are:

- In vitro dissolution test

Dissolution of controlled-release formulations used for colon-specific drug delivery are usually complex, and the dissolution methods described in the USP cannot fully mimic in vivo conditions such as those relating to pH, bacterial environment and mixing forces.
Dissolution tests relating to CDDS may be carried out using the conventional basket method. Parallel dissolution studies in different buffers may be undertaken to characterize the behavior of formulations at different pH levels. Dissolution tests of a colon-specific formulation in various media simulating pH conditions and times likely to be encountered at various locations in the gastrointestinal tract have been studied. The media chosen were, for example, pH 1.2 to simulate gastric fluid, pH 6.8 to simulate the jejunal region of the small intestine, and pH 7.2 to simulate the ileum segment. Enteric-coated capsules for CDDS have been investigated in a gradient dissolution study in three buffers. The capsules were tested for two hours at pH 1.2, then one hour at pH 6.8, and finally at pH 7.4.

- **In vitro enzymatic tests**
  Incubate carrier drug system in fermenter containing suitable medium for bacteria (streptococcus faccium and B. Ovatus). The amount of drug released at different time intervals are determined. Drug release study is done in buffer medium containing enzymes (ezypectinase, dextranase), or rat or guinea pig or rabbit cecal contents. The amount of drug released in a particular time is determined, which is directly proportional to the rate of degradation of polymer carrier.

- **In vivo evaluation**
  A number of animals such as dogs, guinea pigs, rats, and pigs are used to evaluate the delivery of drug to colon because they resemble the anatomic and physiological conditions as well as the microflora of human GIT. While choosing a model for testing a CDDS, relative model for the colonic diseases should also be considered. Guinea pigs are commonly used for experimental IBD model. The distribution of azoreductase and glucouronidase activity in the GIT of rat and rabbit is fairly comparable to that in the human. For rapid evaluation of CDDS, a novel model has been proposed. In this model, the human fetal bowel is transplanted into a subcutaneous tullel on the back of thymic nude mice, which bascularizes within four weeks, matures, and becomes capable of developing of mucosal immune system from the host.

**DRUG DELIVERY INDEX (DDI) AND CLINICAL EVALUATION OF COLON-SPECIFIC DRUG DELIVERY SYSTEMS**

DDI is a calculated pharmacokinetic parameter, following single or multiple dose of oral colonic prodrugs. DDI is the relative ratio of RCE (Relative colonic tissue exposure to the drug) to RSC (Relative amount of drug in blood i.e. that is relative systemic exposal to the drug). High drug DDI value indicates better colon drug delivery. Absorption of drugs from the colon is monitored by colonoscopy and intubation. Currently, gamma scintigraphy and high frequency capsules are the most preferred techniques employed to evaluate colon drug delivery systems.

**Conclusion**

Improved drug delivery systems are required for drugs currently in use to treat localized diseases of the colon. The colonic region of the GIT has become an increasingly important site for drug delivery and absorption. CDDS offers considerable therapeutic benefits to patients in terms of both local and systemic treatment. Colon specificity is more likely to be achieved with systems that utilize natural materials that are degraded by colonic bacterial enzymes. Considering the sophistication of colon-specific drug delivery systems, and the uncertainty of current dissolution methods in establishing possible in-vitro/in-vivo correlation, challenges remain for pharmaceutical scientists to develop and validate a dissolution method that incorporates the physiological features of the colon, and yet can be
used routinely in an industry setting for the evaluation of CDDS. The advantages of targeting drugs specifically to the diseased colon are reduced incidence of systemic side effects, lower dose of drug, supply of the drug to the biophase only when it is required and maintenance of the drug in its intact form as close as possible to the target site.
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