

IMPLEMENTATION OF AUTOVACCINE TREATMENT IN PATIENTS WITH POLYPOUS RHINOSINUSITIS

Andrii Lupyr¹, Victoriia Alekseeva^{1,2}, Yeugenia Domina¹, Marina Yashchenko¹, Nadiia Yurevych¹, Oleksandr Karchynskiy¹, Alla Dzyza¹, Olga Bondarenko¹, Rozana Nazaryan¹

¹Kharkiv National Medical University, 4 Nauky Avenue, 61022, Ukraine

²Kharkiv International Medical University, 38 Molochna str. 61001, Ukraine

[*vik13052130@gmail.com](mailto:vik13052130@gmail.com)

Abstract

One of the most important aspects of the polypous rhinosinusitis problem is insufficient efficacy of its anti-relapse treatment. The goal of our study was to improve anti-relapse treatment efficacy of polypous rhinosinusitis by distinguishing of the core meaningful factors of the disease's development.

Material and Methods. Comprehensive evaluation of the data of three hundred patients with PRS aged 18-77 which have been hospitalized to the otorhinolaryngological clinic is done by means of factor analysis by the method of the main components with subsequent varimax-rotation of the factor axes (rotation of the coordinate system in such a way that factors become orthogonal, that is, minimally correlated with each other and maximally with real variables).

Results. The analysis of data demonstrated that among the variables some constellations took place - 46.47% of all fluctuations and changes observed in empirical data were due to two latent causes of higher degree, that is, the effect of two factors (and six factors explained more than a half of variability).

Conclusions.

1. Thus, as a result of the factorial analysis, 6 main factors were identified, the joint action of which explains 53.72% of the variability of indicators for the polypous rhinosinusitis.
2. The factor analysis allowed to distinguish the groups of indicators and estimate the specific weight of individual pathogenetic factors in the development of polypous rhinosinusitis, which might be conditionally combined under the general names of "clinic-immune", "clinic-pathomorphological", "immunoregulatory", "clinical-microbiological", "violation local protection", "epidemiological and demographic" factors.
3. The effect of two of the most powerful factors ("clinical-immune" and "clinic-pathomorphological factor") is explained by 46.47% of the variability of indicators.
4. Factor estimates for the most potent "clinically-immune-causative factor" with a high degree of reliability distinguished groups of patients with the first identified polypous rhinosinusitis and its relapse.

Keywords: *paranasal sinuses, recurrent polypous rhinosinusitis, autovaccine, CD4 cells*

Introduction

The polypous rhinosinusitis is an old and at the same time very actual problem of the modern otopharyngology.

One of the topical aspects of the polypous rhinosinusitis problem is insufficient efficacy of its anti-relapse treatment [1]. The distinguishing of the core meaningful factors (including inflammatory, infectious, immunological, social and other factors) of the disease's development is important in terms of treatment modernization [2, 3, 4].

The goal of our study was to improve anti-relapse treatment efficacy of polypous rhinosinusitis by distinguishing of the core meaningful factors of the disease's development.

Methods

In order to integrate the evaluation of clinical, clinical and epidemiological, immunological, general morphological, immunohistochemical and biochemical parameters, as well as the results of practical testing of the use of bacterial autovaccine in the system of anti-relapse therapy of polyposis rhinosinusitis, a logical and statistical analysis of the data of the examination of 300 patients with polypous rhinosinusitis aged 18-77 years on the basis of the otorhinolaryngological clinic of the Kharkiv National Medical University, the communal healthcare institution "Regional Clinical Hospital - Center of urgent care and disaster medicine" of Kharkov during the 2000-2009 period has been performed as the basis of development of new diagnostic and preventive measures.

Comprehensive evaluation of the data is done by means of factor analysis by the method of the main components with subsequent varimax-rotation of the factor axes (rotation of the coordinate system in such a way that factors become orthogonal, that is, minimally correlated with each other and maximally with real variables) [5].

A standard set of investigations have been performed. In order to evaluate the immune recognition as one of main lymphocyte functions the lymphocyte interaction parameter which is based on an ability of lymphocytes to recognize foreign substances and produce a cytokine capable to limit in vitro E-rosetting [6].

Some qualitative (categorical) indicators have been converted quantitatively to their score. Thus, the points assessment of the degree of polyposis was expressed according to the prevalence of polyps in the nasal cavity: 1 point - middle nasal meatus, 2 points - middle and common nasal meatus, 3 points - obstruction of the nasal cavity on both sides or any half of the nose.

The points assessment of the type of excretions was the following: 0 points in their absence, 1 point - for their mucous character, 2 points - serous, 3 points - purulent. The morphological degree of maturity of a polyp was expressed through its rank number: 1 point - "young", 2 points - "mature", 3 points - "old".

Partly main results of factor analysis superficially have been represented in our earlier publication [7], now we describe the fundamentals.

The critical value of p-level has been 0.05.

Results

61 variables were included in the analysis. On the basis of the interconnections between them, 6 factors were identified, which together explained 53.72% of the empirical data variation.

At the same time, the ranking of the detected factors by the power of their influence (by descendent order) showed that factor 1 (the most powerful) explained 34.26% of the variability of the variables, while the remaining five factors had significantly less influence (Table 1). The analysis of data in the table 1 demonstrated that among the variables some constellations took place - 46.47% of all fluctuations and changes observed in empirical data were due to two latent causes of higher degree, that is, the effect of two factors (and six factors explained more than a half of variability).

Table 2 shows the factor loads of the six selected factors (only variables with loads ≥ 0.46 are presented, while cross-correlations began with lower values of indicators, i. e. one variable was included in several factors).

Discussion

Taking into account the factors that were loaded with the most powerful factor 1 (number of operations on polypous rhinosinusitis in past, functional condition of the nasal breathing, degree of olfactory analyzer dysfunction, motor activity of the ciliated epithelium, the number of T-lymphocytes (CD2, CD3, CD4, CD8), CD19 lymphocytes, total number of leukocytes, lymphocytes interaction parameter, phagocytic parameter, phagocytic index, immunoglobulins A, M, G, natural killers activity, circulating immune complexes, C3 and C4 complement components), it was named "Clinical and immune-causative factor". At the same time, the indicators, which were at the positive pole of the factor, acquired high values (increased), and indicators at the negative pole of the factor had low values.

The combined effect of factor 1 together with the second on factor significance (factor 2) was explained by almost half (46.47%) variability of indicators.

Taking into account the variables included in factor 2 (points of the degree of polyposis intensity, morphological degree of polyp maturity, the contents of CD4, CD8, CD4/CD8, CD16, HLA-DR antigen carriers, cells producing Ig M, Ig G, Ig E in polyps stromal infiltrates, activity of hexokinase, phosphofruktokinase, lactate dehydrogenase, creatinphosphokinase in polyp tissue), it has obtained the descriptive name of "Clinical and pathomorphological factor".

Factors 3, 4, 5 and 6, to a lesser extent, influenced the variability of the indicators, however, it is interesting that they were loaded with certain groups of indicators.

Thus, factor 3, called "Immunoregulatory factor", was loaded with indicators of concentration of interleukines 1 β , 2, 6, 10, interferon γ and prostaglandin E2 cytokines in serum.

Taking into account that the factor 4 load included mainly the characteristics of the etiological infectious factor and the corresponding clinical manifestations (points assessment of excretions character, mean quantity of Klebsiella pneumoniae, Staphylococcus aureus, Streptococcus pyogenes

the nasal meatus, antibody titers to Klebsiella pneumoniae lipopolysaccharides, Staphylococcus aureus, Streptococcus pyogenes, immunoglobulin E titer to K. pneumoniae and S. aureus, migration inhibition index to Klebsiella pneumoniae lipopolysaccharide, Streptococcus pyogenes streptolysin-O, Staphylococcus aureus protein A), it was given the name of "Clinico-microbiological factor".

Factor 5 was characterized by a load of indices of predominantly local immune and non-immune protection (contents of: secretory Ig A, monomeric Ig A, Ig G, lactoferrin, interferon γ in oropharyngeal secretion), because of what it was called "Violation of local defense factor".

Finally, factor 6 was loaded mainly with clinical, anthropodemographic and epidemiological parameters (age, duration of history of polypous rhinosinusitis, number of acute respiratory diseases exacerbations last year, polypous rhinosinusitis prevalence in a region of living), it was called "Epidemiological and demographic factor".

At the next stage, the intensity of the factors in the groups of patients with the newly diagnosed polypous rhinosinusitis and the recurrent course of the disease has been studied (Table 3).

Taking into account the variables that loaded the factors, these provisions can be interpreted as follows: for patients of the group for the first time diagnosed polypous rhinosinusitis characterized by high levels of intensity of immune inflammation, changes of microbiocenosis due to low values of local defense dysfunction and clinical and epidemiological parameters. On the contrary, during the next relapse of polypous rhinosinusitis, along with the unchanged dominance of immune-inflammatory shifts, the importance of the clinical-morphological factor, the depression changes of specific and nonspecific local protection, and the immunological factor in general.

Perspectives of further investigations are related to mathematical modeling of the pathological process using artificial neural networks or some other new diagnostic methods [8, 9, 10, 11].

PRS may be attached with development of antibiotic resistance [12].

At the same time perspectives of our further investigations are related to wider clinical approbation of bacterial autovaccine use in complex treatment of PRS maybe in cases of its combinations with other disorders in the orofacial zone [13, 14] or other organs and systems [15].

The effectiveness of the treatment can be displayed by new promising diagnostic procedures [16, 17, 18] in different cohorts of patient maybe with presence of comorbidities [19, 20, 21], levels of hormones or immune response [22, 23, 24], experience of previous antibiotic administration [25-27].

Conclusions

1. Thus, as a result of the factorial analysis, 6 main factors were identified, the joint action of which explains 53.72% of the variability of indicators for the polypous rhinosinusitis.

2. The factor analysis allowed to distinguish the groups of indicators and estimate the specific weight of individual pathogenetic factors in the development of polypous rhinosinusitis, which might be conditionally combined under the general names of "clinic-immune", "clinic-pathomorphological", "immunoregulatory", "clinical-microbiological", "violation local protection", "epidemiological and demographic" factors.

3. The effect of two of the most powerful factors ("clinical-immune" and "clinic-pathomorphological factor") is explained by 46.47% of the variability of indicators.

4. Factor estimates for the most potent "clinically-immune-causative factor" with a high degree of reliability distinguished groups of patients with the first identified polypous rhinosinusitis and its relapse.

References

- Zhang, Y. Y., Lou, H. F., Wang, C. S., & Zhang, L. (2018). *Zhonghua er bi yan hou tou jing wai ke za zhi = Chinese journal of otorhinolaryngology head and neck surgery*, 53(2), 154–160. <https://doi.org/10.3760/cma.j.issn.1673-0860.2018.02.017>
- Wu, D., Wei, Y., & Bleier, B. S. (2018). Emerging Role of Proteases in the Pathogenesis of Chronic Rhinosinusitis with Nasal Polyps. *Frontiers in cellular and infection microbiology*, 7, 538. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2017.00538>
- Tengroth, L., Arebro, J., Larsson, O., Bachert, C., Georén, S. K., & Cardell, L. O. (2018). Activation of Activin receptor-like kinases curbs mucosal inflammation and proliferation in chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyps. *Scientific reports*, 8(1), 1561. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-19955-1>
- Ickrath, P., Kleinsasser, N., Ding, X., Ginzkey, C., Beyersdorf, N., Hagen, R., Kerkau, T., & Hackenberg, S. (2017). Characterization of T-cell subpopulations in patients with chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyposis. *Allergy & rhinology (Providence, R.I.)*, 8(3), 139–147. <https://doi.org/10.2500/ar.2017.8.0214>
- Kim, K., & Thompson, S. G. (2012). This special issue of *Statistics in Medicine*: (preface). *Statistics in medicine*, 31(30), 4145. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sim.5646>
- Nazaryan, R. S., Kryvenko, L.S., & Gargin, V.V. (2017). The role of nitric oxide synthase in the modulation of the immune response in atopic disease. *New Armenian Med J*, 11(2), 52-57.
- Lupyr, A., Yurevych, N., Domina, Y., Kalashnyk, I., & Bondarenko, O. (2018). PREVAILING CLINICO-PATHOGENETIC PARAMETERS IN PATIENTS WITH POLYPOUS RHINOSINUSITIS. *Georgian medical news*, (284), 41–46.
- Shevchuk, V., Odushkina, N., Mikulinska-Rudich, Y., Mys, V., & Nazaryan, R. (2021). A Method of increasing the effectiveness of antibacterial therapy with ceftriaxone in the complex treatment of inflammatory diseases of the maxillofacial area in children. *Pharmacologyonline*, 3, 652-662.
- Yaroslavskaya, Y., Mikhailenko, N., Kuzina, V., Sychova, L., & Nazaryan R. (2021). Antibiotic therapy in the complex pathogenic treatment of patients with sialolithiasis in the stage of exacerbation of chronic sialoadenitis. *Pharmacologyonline*, 3, 624-631.

10. Tkachenko, M., Fomenko, Y., Bondarenko, A., Shevchuk, V., Odushkina, N., & Nazaryan, R. (2021). The use of miramistin in the treatment of chronic gingivitis in children with cystic fibrosis, *Pharmacologyonline*, 3, 398-404.
11. Nazaryan, R., & Kryvenko, L. (2017). Salivary oxidative analysis and periodontal status in children with atopy, *Interv Med Appl Sci.*,9(4),199-203
12. Kon, K., & Rai, M. (2016). Antibiotic Resistance: Mechanisms and New Antimicrobial Approaches. *Antibiotic Resistance: Mechanisms and New Antimicrobial Approaches*, 1, 413
13. Denga, O., Pyndus, T., Gargin, V., & Schneider, S. (2017). Influence of Metabolic Syndrome on Condition of Microcirculatory Bed of Oral Cavity. *Georgian medical news*, (273), 99–104.
14. Kovach, I., Kravchenko, L., Khotimska, Y., Nazaryan, R., & Gargin, V. (2017). Influence of Ozone Therapy on Oral Tissue in Modeling of Chronic Recurrent Aphthous Stomatitis. *Georgian medical news*, (264), 115–119.
15. Avilova, O., Shyian, D., Marakushin, D., Erokhina, V., & Gargin, V. (2018). Ultrastructural Changes in the Organs of the Immune System under the Influence of Xenobiotics. *Georgian medical news*, (279), 132–137.
16. Polyvianna, Y., Chumachenko, D., & Chumachenko, T. (2019). Computer Aided System of time series analysis methods for forecasting the epidemics outbreaks. 2019 IEEE 15th International Conference on the Experience of Designing and Application of CAD Systems (CADSM). <https://doi.org/10.1109/cadsm.2019.8779344>
17. Radutniy, R., Nechyporenko, A., Alekseeva, V., Titova, G., Bibik, D., & Gargin, V. V. (2020). Automated measurement of bone thickness on SCT sections and other images. 2020 IEEE Third International Conference on Data Stream Mining & Processing (DSMP). <https://doi.org/10.1109/dsmp47368.2020.9204289>
18. Nechyporenko, A.S., Alekseeva, V.V., Sychova L.V., Cheverda, V.M., Yurevych, N.O., & Gargin, V.V. (2020). Anatomical prerequisites for the development of rhinosinusitis. *Lek Obz*, 6(10), 334-338.
19. Pelchen-Matthews, A., Ryom, L., Borges, Á. H., Edwards, S., Duvivier, C., Stephan, C., Sambatakou, H., Maciejewska, K., Portu, J. J., Weber, J., Degen, O., Calmy, A., Reikvam, D. H., Jevtović, D., Wiese, L., Smidt, J., Smiatacz, T., Hassoun, G., Kuznetsova, A., Clotet, B., ... EuroSIDA study (2018). Aging and the evolution of comorbidities among HIV-positive individuals in a European cohort. *AIDS (London, England)*, 32(16), 2405–2416.
20. Shepherd, L., Borges, Á., Ledergerber, B., Domingo, P., Castagna, A., Rockstroh, J., Knysz, B., Tomazic, J., Karpov, I., Kirk, O., Lundgren, J., Mocroft, A., & EuroSIDA in EuroCOORD (2016). Infection-related and -unrelated malignancies, HIV and the aging population. *HIV medicine*, 17(8), 590–600. <https://doi.org/10.1111/hiv.12359>
21. Ivannik, V. Y., Torianyk, I. I., Moiseienko, T. M., Skliar, A. I., Yeromenko, R. F., Hnatiuk, V. V., Podrigalo, L. V., Nazaryan, R. S., Mikhailenko, N. M., & Gargin, V. V. (2021). Antimicrobial activity derivatives 2H-pirano[2,3-c]pyridines against pathogens of intestinal yersiniosis. *Journal of Pharmacy and Nutrition Sciences*, 11, 87–92. <https://doi.org/10.29169/1927-5951.2021.11.11>
22. Gargin, V., Muryzina, I., Shcherbina, N., Nechyporenko, A., Baryshevska, V., Vorobyova, O., & Alekseeva, V. (2020). Relationship between bone density of paranasal sinuses and adrenal steroids pattern in women during menopausal transition. *Anthropological Review*, 83(4), 407–418. <https://doi.org/10.2478/anre-2020-0031>
23. Giwercman, A., Rylander, L., Rignell-Hydbom, A., Jönsson, B. A., Pedersen, H. S., Ludwicki, J. K., Lesovoy, V., Zvezday, V., Spano, M., Manicardi, G. C., Bizzaro, D., Bonfeld-Jørgensen, E. C., Toft, G., Bonde, J. P., Giwercman, C., Tiido, T., Giwercman, Y. L., & INUENDO (2007). Androgen receptor

- gene CAG repeat length as a modifier of the association between persistent organohalogen pollutant exposure markers and semen characteristics. *Pharmacogenetics and genomics*, 17(6), 391–401. <https://doi.org/10.1097/01.fpc.0000236329.26551.78>
24. Tsodikova, O., & Harbar, K. (2021). Problematic issues of insulin resistance in adolescent girls with body mass index disorders. *Paediatr East Eur*, 9(4), 570-578.
 25. Svitlana, D., Kateryna, K., Dmitriy, Z., Victoria, H., Natalia, K., & Inna, B. Realization of the algorithm pharmakon (Medicine ↔ poison) in modern antibiotic treatment. *Pharmacologyonline*, 2, 1172-1178.
 26. Myronov, P., Bugaiov, V., Holubnycha, V., Sikora, V., Deineka, V., Lyndin, M., Opanasyuk, A., Romaniuk, A., & Pogorielov, M. (2020). Low-frequency ultrasound increase effectiveness of silver nanoparticles in a purulent wound model. *Biomedical engineering letters*, 10(4), 621–631. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13534-020-00174-5>
 27. Nechyporenko, A. S., Reshetnik, V. M., Alekseeva, V. V., Yurevych, N. O., Nazaryan, R. S., & Gargin, V. V. (2020). Implementation and analysis of uncertainty of measurement results for lower walls of maxillary and frontal sinuses. 2020 IEEE 40th International Conference on Electronics and Nanotechnology (ELNANO). <https://doi.org/10.1109/elnano50318.2020.9088916>

Table 1. Ranking of the most powerful factors influencing the variability of the parameters in patients with polypous rhinosinusitis

Factors	The power of the explained influence on the variability of indicators, %
Factor 1	34.26
Factor 2	12.21
Factor 3	2.15
Factor 4	2.87
Factor 5	1.31
Factor 6	0.92
Total	53.72

Table 2. Characteristics of factor loading of parameters in patients with polyposis rhinosinusitis

Parameters	Factor 1	Factor 2	Factor 3	Factor 4	Factor 5	Factor 6
Age						0.750
Duration of polypous rhinosinusitis history, years						0.952
Operations for polypous rhinosinusitis quantity in past	0.947					
Degree of polyposis intensity, points		0.941				
Acute respiratory diseases quantity per last year						0.938
Points assessment of excretions character				0.864		
Functional condition of the nasal breathing, mm of water	0.938					
Degree of odor perception disturbances	0.951					
Motor activity of the ciliated epithelium, minutes	0.894					
Polypous rhinosinusitis prevalence in a region of living, per 10000 of population						0.815
T-lymphocytes CD2 count, %	-0.911					
T-lymphocytes CD3 count, %	-0.887					

T-lymphocytesCD4 count, %	0.815					
T-lymphocytesCD8count, %	-0.837					
B-lymphocytesCD19 count, %	0.953					
Totalleukocytescount, $\times 10^9/l$	0.864					
Lymphocytes interaction parameter	-0.823					
Phagocytic parameter	-0.724					
Phagocytic index	0.719					
Immunoglobulin A, g/l	-0.937					
Immunoglobulin M, g/l	0.815					
Immunoglobulin G, g/l	0.871					
Natural killers activity, %	-0.784					
Mean quantity of <i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i> in nasopharynx, colony forming unit per ml				0.996		
Mean quantity of <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> in nasopharynx, colony forming unit per ml				0.975		
Mean quantity of <i>Streptococcus pyogenes</i> in nasopharynx, colony forming unit per ml				0.957		
Antibodies to <i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i> lipopolysaccharide titer, \log_2				0.935		
Antibodies to <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> titer, \log_2				0.923		
Antibodies to <i>Streptococcus pyogenes</i> titer, \log_2				0.896		
Ig E to <i>K. pneumoniae</i>				0.937		
Ig E to <i>S. aureus</i>				0.941		
Migration inhibition index to <i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i> lipopolysaccharide				-0.703		
Migration inhibition index to <i>Streptococcus pyogenes</i> Streptolysin O				-0.722		
Migration inhibition index to <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> protein A				-0.914		
Circulating immune complexes, units of optical density	0.891					

Complement C3 component	-0.753					
Complement C4 component	-0.760					
Interleukin 1 β serum concentration, pg/ml			0.927			
Interleukin 2 serum concentration, pg/ml			-0.726			
Interleukin 6 serum concentration, pg/ml			0.769			
Interleukin 10 serum concentration, pg/ml			-0.737			
Interferon γ serum concentration, pg/ml			-0.965			
Prostaglandin E ₂ serum concentration, pg/ml			0.738			
Secretory Ig A contents in oropharyngeal secretion, g/l					-0.895	
Monomeric Ig A contents in oropharyngeal secretion, g/l					0.872	
Ig G contents in oropharyngeal secretion, g/l					0.914	
Lactoferrin contents in oropharyngeal secretion, mkg/ml					-0.967	
Interferon γ contents in oropharyngeal secretion, pg/ml					-0.955	
Morphological degree of polyp maturity		0.710				
T-lymphocytesCD4contents in polyps stromal infiltrates, %		0.797				
T-lymphocytesCD8contents in polyps stromal infiltrates, %		0.711				
CD4/CD8ratio in polyps stromal infiltrates		0.724				
CD16contents in polyps stromal infiltrates, %		0.742				
HLA-DR carrier cells contents in polyps stromal infiltrates, %		0.774				
Ig M-producer cellscontents in polyps stromal infiltrates, %		0.793				
Ig G-producer cellscontents in polyps stromal infiltrates, %		0.809				

Ig E-producer cells contents in polyps stromal infiltrates, %	0.787				
Hexokinase activity in polyp tissue, mkmol/gprotein/hour	0.753				
Phosphofructokinase activity in polyp tissue, mkmol/gprotein/hour	0.803				
Lactate dehydrogenase activity in polyp tissue, mkmol/gprotein/hour	0.766				
Creatinphosphokinase activity in polyp tissue, mkmol/gprotein/hour	0.708				

Table 3. Averaged factor estimates in the groups of patients with newly diagnosed and recurrent polypous rhinosinusitis

Groups	Factor 1	Factor 2	Factor 3	Factor 4	Factor 5	Factor 6
Primarily diagnosed polypous rhinosinusitis	0.517 ± 0.025	0.071 ± 0.062	0.157 ± 0.081	0.238 ± 0.107	0.217 ± 0.092	0.053 ± 0.009
Recurrent polypous rhinosinusitis	0.623 ± 0.047	0.156 ± 0.092	0.023 ± 0.026	0.063 ± 0.033	0.101 ± 0.054	0.139 ± 0.037

Table 4. Differences between groups of patients with newly diagnosed and recurrent polyposis rhinosinusitis by factors

Groups	Distances between groups		p-level value
Primarily diagnosed polypous rhinosinusitis – recurrent polypous rhinosinusitis	Factor 1	1.507	p<0.01
	Factor 2		p>0.05
	Factor 3	1.059	p<0.01
	Factor 4		p<0.05
	Factor 5	3.072	p<0.01
	Factor 6		p<0.01